

## REMARKS

The Examiner is thanked for the indication that claim 31 is allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Claims 1-29 and 32-41 remain pending in the instant application. Claims 1-30 and 32-41 presently stand rejected. Claims 1, 13, and 32 are amended herein. Claims 30 and 31 are hereby cancelled without prejudice. Entry of this amendment and reconsideration of the pending claims are respectfully requested.

### *Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 102*

Claims 1-30 and 32-41 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Xiong et al. (US 6,671,256).

A claim is anticipated only if each and every element of the claim is found in a single reference. M.P.E.P. § 2131 (citing *Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California*, 814 F.2d 628 (Fed. Cir. 1987)). “The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the claim.” M.P.E.P. § 2131 (citing *Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.*, 868 F.2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1989)).

Independent claims 1, 13, and 32 have been amended to include the subject matter of dependent claim 31 (and intervening dependent claim 30) deemed allowable by the Examiner. Dependent claims 30 and 31 are now cancelled.

Consequently, all independent claims now include allowable subject matter. Withdrawal of the instant §102 rejections of independent claims 1, 12, and 32 is requested.

The dependent claims are novel over the prior art of record for at least the same reasons as discussed above in connection with their respective independent claims, in addition to adding further limitations of their own. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the instant § 102 rejections of the dependent claims be withdrawn.

## CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is believed that the applicable rejections have been overcome and all claims remaining in the application are presently in condition for allowance. Accordingly, favorable consideration and a Notice of Allowance are earnestly solicited. The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned representative at (206) 292-8600 if the Examiner believes that an interview might be useful for any reason.

## CHARGE DEPOSIT ACCOUNT

It is not believed that extensions of time are required beyond those that may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, if additional extensions of time are necessary to prevent abandonment of this application, then such extensions of time are hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). Any fees required therefore are hereby authorized to be charged to Deposit Account No. 02-2666. Please credit any overpayment to the same deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: June 27, 2007

/Cory G. Claassen/

Cory G. Claassen


Reg. No. 50,296

Phone: (206) 292-8600

1279 Oakmead Parkway  
Sunnyvale, California 94085-4040  
Facsimile (408) 720-8383

### CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being submitted electronically via EFS Web on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

  
Suzanne Johnston

6/27/07  
Date